

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/023,273 12/14/2001		12/14/2001	Lirio Quintero	154-26969-US	3997	
23770	7590	01/19/2006		EXAMINER		
PAULA D MORRIS &			TUCKER, PHILIP C			
		, SUITE 360	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
HOUSTON		•	1712			
				DATE MAILED: 01/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/023,273	QUINTERO, LIRIO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Philip C. Tucker	1712		

	Philip C. Tucker	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply oright than three months after the mailing de	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	diance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	s filed within two month	ne of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co			00000
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	-	ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• • • •		(DTOL 204)
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amondme	nt cancoling the
non-allowable claim(s).	iowabie ii subitiitted iii a separate	, unlery med amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attact	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
		Other	
		Philip C Tucker	
		Primary Examiner	
		Art Unit: 1712	

Application No. 10/023,273

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: There is no antecedent basis for the "said water soluble polymer", since such has been deleted from claim 329 in the proposed claim amendments. fuethermore, the parent claim 329 is directed to a 50/50 xanthan mixture, whereas claim 359 is directed to a broader class of "modified polysaccharides"...

Continuation of 13. Other: There is no brief description of the drawings in the specification. Furthermore, the specification does not contain any reference to figure 3. Such reference must be incorporated, or figure 3 must be deleted from the specification.